



The **EGNOS** Service Provider

Monthly Performance Report

April 2021



ESSP-DRD-28362 Iss. 01-00 Date: 10.05.2021

If printed, make sure it is the applicable version

EU PROPRIETARY

Table of Content

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	4
1 EGNOS SIS AVAILABILITY	5
2 OPEN SERVICE (OS)	7
2.1 OPEN SERVICE HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL ACCURACY.....	7
2.2 EGNOS OPEN SERVICE AVAILABILITY.....	9
3 SAFETY-OF-LIFE SERVICE (SOL)	10
3.1 EGNOS NON PRECISION APPROACH (NPA)	10
3.1.1 EGNOS NPA Availability	10
3.1.2 EGNOS NPA Continuity.....	11
3.1.3 EGNOS NPA Integrity Events	12
3.1.4 EGNOS NPA Accuracy.....	13
3.2 EGNOS APPROACH WITH VERTICAL GUIDANCE (APV-I)	15
3.2.1 EGNOS APV-I Availability	15
3.2.2 EGNOS APV-I Continuity Risk	16
3.2.3 EGNOS APV-I Integrity	17
3.2.4 EGNOS APV-I Accuracy.....	19
3.2.5 EGNOS APV-I Performance at airports.....	21
3.3 EGNOS LOCALIZER PERFORMANCE WITH VERTICAL GUIDANCE TO A DECISION ALTITUDE OF 200FT (LPV-200).....	22
3.3.1 EGNOS LPV-200 Availability.....	22
3.3.2 EGNOS LPV-200 Continuity Risk.....	23
3.3.3 EGNOS LPV-200 Integrity	24
3.3.4 EGNOS LPV-200 Accuracy	26
3.3.5 EGNOS LPV-200 Performance at airports	28
4 EGNOS DATA ACCESS SERVICE (EDAS).....	29
5 EGNOS TIME SERVICE	30
APPENDIX A RECEIVER MONITORING NETWORK	33
APPENDIX B EGNOS APV-I PERFORMANCE AT AIRPORTS	35
APPENDIX C EGNOS LPV-200 PERFORMANCE AT AIRPORTS	44
APPENDIX D REFERENCE DOCUMENTS	50
APPENDIX E LIST OF ACRONYMS.....	51

Table of Figures

FIGURE 1 – EGNOS SIS & PRN AVAILABILITY FOR APRIL 2021.....	5
FIGURE 2 – TREND OF EGNOS SIS AVAILABILITY PER GEO	5
FIGURE 3 – EGNOS OPEN SERVICE HNSE HISTOGRAM AND CUMULATIVE PROBABILITY	8
FIGURE 4 – EGNOS OPEN SERVICE VNSE HISTOGRAM AND CUMULATIVE PROBABILITY.....	8
FIGURE 5 – EGNOS OPEN SERVICE AVAILABILITY AT REFERENCE STATIONS.....	9
FIGURE 6 – EGNOS NPA AVAILABILITY	10
FIGURE 7 – EGNOS NPA CONTINUITY OVER THE LAST 6 MONTHS.....	11
FIGURE 8 – EGNOS NPA HORIZONTAL SAFETY INDEX OF THE MONTH	12
FIGURE 9 – EGNOS NPA HNSE HISTOGRAM AND CUMULATIVE PROBABILITY	14
FIGURE 10 – EGNOS APV-I AVAILABILITY.....	15
FIGURE 11 – EGNOS APV-I AVAILABILITY COMPLIANCE TREND	15
FIGURE 12 – EGNOS APV-I CONTINUITY.....	16
FIGURE 13 – EGNOS APV-I HORIZONTAL SAFETY INDEX OF THE MONTH	17
FIGURE 14 – EGNOS APV-I VERTICAL SAFETY INDEX OF THE MONTH	18
FIGURE 15 – EGNOS APV-I HNSE HISTOGRAM AND CUMULATIVE PROBABILITY	20
FIGURE 16 – EGNOS APV-I VNSE HISTOGRAM AND CUMULATIVE PROBABILITY	20
FIGURE 17 – EGNOS APV-I AVAILABILITY AT AIRPORTS.....	21
FIGURE 18 – EGNOS APV-I OUTAGES.....	21
FIGURE 19 – EGNOS LPV-200 AVAILABILITY	22
FIGURE 20 – EGNOS LPV-200 AVAILABILITY COMPLIANCE TREND	22
FIGURE 21 – EGNOS LPV-200 CONTINUITY	23
FIGURE 22 – EGNOS LPV-200 HORIZONTAL SAFETY INDEX OF THE MONTH	24
FIGURE 23 – EGNOS LPV-200 VERTICAL SAFETY INDEX OF THE MONTH.....	25
FIGURE 24 – EGNOS LPV-200 HNSE HISTOGRAM AND CUMULATIVE PROBABILITY.....	27
FIGURE 25 – EGNOS LPV-200 VNSE HISTOGRAM AND CUMULATIVE PROBABILITY	27
FIGURE 26 – EGNOS LPV-200 AVAILABILITY AT AIRPORTS.....	28
FIGURE 27 – EGNOS LPV-200 OUTAGES	28
FIGURE 28 – EGNOS TIME SERVICE AVAILABILITY	30
FIGURE 29 – ENT-GPS OFFSET EVOLUTION.....	31
FIGURE 30 – EGNOS RIMS SITES USED IN THIS REPORT	33

Table of Tables

TABLE 1 – EGNOS SIS AVAILABILITY (%) ON EGNOS GEO SATELLITES	6
TABLE 2 – EGNOS OPEN SERVICE ACCURACY (95%)	7
TABLE 3 – EGNOS NPA HORIZONTAL ACCURACY (95%) AND PERCENTAGE OF TIME IN NPA MODE.....	13
TABLE 4 – EGNOS APV-I ACCURACY (95%) AND PERCENTAGE OF TIME IN APV-I MODE AT REFERENCE STATIONS.....	19
TABLE 5 – EGNOS LPV-200 ACCURACY (95%) AND PERCENTAGE OF TIME IN LPV-200 MODE AT REFERENCE STATIONS	26
TABLE 6 – PERFORMANCE OF EDAS SERVICES	29
TABLE 7 – LIST OF SITES WHERE PERFORMANCES ARE REPORTED	34
TABLE 8 – MONTHLY APV-I AVAILABILITY AT AIRPORTS WITH PUBLISHED PROCEDURES USING EGNOS.....	43
TABLE 9 – MONTHLY LPV-200 AVAILABILITY AT AIRPORTS WITH PUBLISHED PROCEDURES USING EGNOS	49

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report presents the EGNOS services performance during April 2021. The report contains global results for the reported period, including maps and tables with the performance observed at different locations in Europe using GEO-combined values for EGNOS operational GEOs. A list of the stations analysed in this report, including their location, can be found in Appendix A. Additional and more detailed information about EGNOS performance can be found at the EGNOS User Support website (<https://egnos-user-support.essp-sas.eu>).

Safety of Life Service (SoL)

The percentage¹ of SoL SDD service area [RD-2] covered by APV-I and LPV200 Availability (99%) performance was 98.65% for APV-I (section 3.2.1) and 95.56% for LPV200 (section 3.3.1). The achieved coverage for continuity ($5 \times 10^{-4}/15\text{s}$) was 99.40% for APV-I (section 3.2.2) and 94.26% for LPV200 (section 3.3.2).

The performance at all airports with approach operations based on the APV-I or LPV200 service levels (Appendix B and Appendix C) presented availability and continuity values in line with their respective commitments as defined in the SoL SDD [RD-2].

The Horizontal and Vertical Safety Indexes remained below 0.33 for both APV-I (section 3.2.3) and LPV200 (section 3.3.3) service levels for all the analyzed sites, which represents a good integrity margin.

NPA Availability above 99% (section 3.1.1) was delivered in the 99.73% of the NPA service area (limited by the boundaries defined by MT27).

Open Service

The monitored stations presented an Open Service Availability higher than 99% for this month, being most of them equal or close to 100% (section 2.2).

The horizontal and vertical accuracy results for all the sites remained below 1.4 meters (95%) and 2.5 meters (95%) respectively, which represents a very good level of accuracy (section 2.1).

EDAS Service

In terms of availability and latency, the observed performance for all the EDAS services fulfilled the targets (section 4) [RD-3].

EGNOS Time Service

The information is presented for the combination of both operational GEOs. As can be observed, the EGNOS Time Service availability was 100% all days of the month, except for 15th April (88.21%).

The offset between the EGNOS Network Time and the GPS time remained below 15 nanoseconds over the three previous months: January 2021 to March 2021 (section 5).

¹ The coverage percentages presented represent the ratio of area after applying the mapping projection, there may be a difference compared to the actual geographical area.

1 EGNOS SIS AVAILABILITY

In this document, **EGNOS SIS Availability** is defined as the percentage of time in the month during which at least one geostationary satellite broadcasts EGNOS messages.

In addition to the individual SIS availability for PRN123 and PRN136, the following values are also reported:

- percentage of time in the month during which at least one geostationary satellite broadcasts EGNOS messages (PRN123 or PRN136);
- percentage of time in the month during which both operational geostationary satellites broadcast EGNOS messages.

EGNOS SIS monitoring for April 2021, reports the following reception percentage of an SBAS message:

- SIS – PRN123 or PRN136: **100%**
- SIS – PRN123 and PRN136: **99.99%**
- PRN123 Availability: **99.99%**
- PRN136 Availability: **100%**

The following figure presents the availability of the signal in both EGNOS GEO satellites (PRN123 and PRN136). Red lines correspond to unavailability periods:




Figure 1 – EGNOS SIS & PRN Availability for April 2021




Figure 2 – Trend of EGNOS SIS Availability per GEO

Availability (%)	2020-11	2020-12	2021-01	2021-02	2021-03	2021-04
PRN123	100	99.98	99.99	100	99.77	99.99
PRN136	100	99.97	99.99	99.99	99.98	100
At least one EGNOS GEO satellite	100	100	100	100	100	100

Table 1 – EGNOS SIS Availability (%) on EGNOS GEO satellites

2 OPEN SERVICE (OS)

2.1 Open Service Horizontal and Vertical Accuracy

Accuracy is a measure of the position error, which is the difference between the estimated navigation position and the actual position.

EGNOS OS Horizontal (resp. Vertical) Accuracy is reported as the 95th percentile of the Horizontal (resp. Vertical) Navigation System Error – HNSE (resp. VNSE) over the month, at the monitored sites when applying EGNOS messages.

The next table provides the values of accuracy (95%) in meters measured for this month. See Appendix A for further details of the stations where OS Accuracy is reported.

Station	HNSE 95% (m)	VNSE 95% (m)
Agadir	1.1	1.2
Aalborg	0.6	1.5
Alexandria	1.1	1.5
Athens	0.8	1.2
Berlin	0.8	1.3
Canarias	1.3	1.4
Cork	0.9	1.3
Catania	0.8	1.1
Djerba	0.9	1.1
Egilsstadir	0.7	1.8
Glasgow	0.9	1.4
Golbasi	0.9	1.2
Gavle	0.6	1.6
Haifa	1.0	1.6
Jan Mayen	1.1	2.3
Kirkenes	0.9	1.8
Lappeenranta	0.7	1.6
La Palma	1.1	1.4
Lisboa	1.0	1.5
Madeira	0.9	1.1
Malaga	0.9	0.9
Palma de Mallorca	0.7	0.9
Reykjavik	0.9	2.1
Roma	0.8	1.1
S. Compostela	0.9	1.1
Sofía	1.3	1.7
Swanwick	1.1	1.5
Toulouse	0.9	1.1
Trondheim	0.6	1.6
Tromsoe	0.9	2.4
Warsaw	0.9	1.5
Zurich	0.8	1.2

Table 2 – EGNOS Open Service accuracy (95%)

The next figures show the histogram and cumulative distribution function of HNSE (Horizontal Navigation System Error) and VNSE (Vertical Navigation System Error), which are computed at the previous stations for each second over the current month.




Figure 3 – EGNOS Open Service HNSE Histogram and Cumulative Probability




Figure 4 – EGNOS Open Service VNSE Histogram and Cumulative Probability

2.2 EGNOS Open Service Availability

EGNOS OS Availability performance is defined in the present document as the percentage of time when the instantaneous HNSE is lower than 3 meters and the instantaneous VNSE is lower than 4 meters over the total number of samples with valid PA navigation solution

The following figures present the Open Service Availability measured in the monitoring stations for the reported month (RIMS sites with OS Availability lower than 99%, if any, are shown in red). See Appendix A for further details of the stations where OS Accuracy is reported.




Figure 5 – EGNOS Open Service Availability at reference stations

3 SAFETY-OF-LIFE SERVICE (SOL)

3.1 EGNOS Non Precision Approach (NPA)

3.1.1 EGNOS NPA Availability

EGNOS NPA Availability is defined as the percentage of samples in which the Horizontal Protection Level is below Alert Limit for NPA (HPL below 556m) over the total period. This value corresponds to the performance obtained under fault-free conditions using all satellites in view.

The following figure presents EGNOS NPA Availability over the current month. It must be noted that NPA Availability considering RAIM is not taken into account in this report.




Figure 6 – EGNOS NPA availability

3.1.2 EGNOS NPA Continuity

EGNOS NPA Continuity is reported as the result of dividing the total number of single continuity events using a time-sliding window of 1 hour by the number samples with valid and available NPA navigation solution. A single continuity event occurs if the system is available at the start of the operation and in at least one second inside the following time-sliding window of 1 hour the system becomes not available. This value corresponds to the performance obtained under fault-free conditions using all satellites in view.

The following picture presents the EGNOS NPA Continuity Risk measured for the last 6 months (in order to observe the minimum NPA Continuity performance committed in the SoL SDD -1×10^{-3} /hour-, at least 6 months of data need to be evaluated due to the discrete nature of discontinuity events). It must be noted that NPA continuity is computed in this report using only the EGNOS NPA solution and not considering the GPS RAIM solution when the EGNOS one is not available.




Figure 7 – EGNOS NPA Continuity over the last 6 months

3.1.3 EGNOS NPA Integrity Events

EGNOS NPA Integrity Event is defined as an event when the Navigation System Error is greater or equal to the corresponding Protection Level for NPA.

No integrity event was detected.

Safety index is defined as the relation between Navigation System Error and Protection Level (assuming NPA algorithms to compute xNSE and xPL) for each second. Case of ratio xNSE/xPL is over 1, it indicates that a Misleading Information situation has occurred.

The next histogram shows the distribution of HSI (Horizontal Safety Index), which is computed at the different EGNOS stations for each second over the current month. This histogram takes into account the epochs in which the NPA service is available (Protection Level < NPA Alarm Limit).




Figure 8 – EGNOS NPA Horizontal Safety Index of the month

3.1.4 EGNOS NPA Accuracy

EGNOS NPA Accuracy is reported as the 95th percentile of the Horizontal Navigation System Error (HNSE) over the month, at the monitored sites when the NPA service is available (HPL below 556 m).

This table shows the NPA Accuracy values in meters. See Appendix A for further details of the stations where NPA accuracy is reported.

Station	HNSE 95% (meters)	% of samples in NPA mode
Abu Simbel	3.6	100.00%
Azores	2.0	100.00%
Agadir	1.1	100.00%
Aalborg	0.6	100.00%
Alexandria	1.1	100.00%
Athens	0.8	100.00%
Berlin	0.8	100.00%
Canary Islands	1.3	100.00%
Cork	0.9	100.00%
Catania	0.8	100.00%
Djerba	0.9	100.00%
Egilsstadir	0.7	100.00%
Glasgow	0.9	100.00%
Golbasi	0.9	100.00%
Gavle	0.6	100.00%
Haifa	1.0	100.00%
Jan Mayen	1.1	100.00%
Kirkenes	0.9	100.00%
Lappeenranta	0.7	100.00%
La Palma	1.1	100.00%
Lisbon	1.0	100.00%
Madeira	0.9	100.00%
Málaga	0.9	100.00%
Palma de Mallorca	0.7	100.00%
Reykjavik	0.9	100.00%
Roma	0.8	100.00%
S. de Compostela	0.9	100.00%
Sofia	1.3	100.00%
Swanwick	1.1	100.00%
Toulouse	0.9	100.00%
Trondheim	0.6	100.00%
Tromsoe	0.9	100.00%
Warsaw	0.9	100.00%
Zürich	0.8	100.00%

Table 3 – EGNOS NPA Horizontal Accuracy (95%) and percentage of time in NPA mode

The following figure shows the histogram and cumulative probability function of HNSE (Horizontal Navigation System Error), which are computed at RIMS sites for each second over the current month.




Figure 9 – EGNOS NPA HNSE Histogram and Cumulative Probability

3.2 EGNOS Approach with Vertical guidance (APV-I)

3.2.1 EGNOS APV-I Availability

EGNOS APV-I Availability is defined as the percentage of epochs in a month in which the Protection Level are below Alert Limits for this APV-I service ($HPL < 40m$ and $VPL < 50m$) over the total period. This value corresponds to the performance obtained under fault-free conditions using all satellites in view.

The following picture presents the EGNOS APV-I Availability over the current month using GEO-combined maps for the operational EGNOS GEOs.




Figure 10 – EGNOS APV-I Availability

Below, the evolution of the monthly APV-I availability (99%) compliance area (30 days sliding window) is presented. The percentage is computed with respect to the commitment area at 99% level as defined in the SoL SDD ([RD-2]). The information is presented for the last 3 months.




Figure 11 – EGNOS APV-I availability compliance trend

3.2.2 EGNOS APV-I Continuity Risk

EGNOS APV-I Continuity Risk is defined as the result of dividing the total number of single continuity events using a time-sliding window of 15 seconds by the number of samples with valid and available APV-I navigation solution. A single continuity event occurs if the system is available at the start of the operation and in at least one of the following 15 seconds the system becomes not available. This value corresponds to the performance obtained under fault-free conditions using all satellites in view.

The following picture presents the EGNOS APV-I Continuity over the current month using GEO-combined maps for the operational EGNOS GEOs.




Figure 12 – EGNOS APV-I Continuity

3.2.3 EGNOS APV-I Integrity

EGNOS APV-I Integrity Event is defined as an event when the Navigation System Error is greater or equal to the corresponding Protection Level for APV-I.

No integrity event was detected.

Safety Index is defined as the relation between Navigation System Error versus Protection Level (assuming PA algorithms to compute xNSE and xPL) for each second. In case of ratio xPE/xPL is over 1; it indicates that a Misleading Information situation has occurred.

The next figures provide the histogram for HSI (Horizontal Safety Index) and VSI (Vertical Safety Index) for each second when accumulating measurements from the different EGNOS stations over the current month. These histograms have considered that Protection Level is below APV-I Alarm Limit.




Figure 13 – EGNOS APV-I Horizontal Safety Index of the month




Figure 14 – EGNOS APV-I Vertical Safety Index of the month

3.2.4 EGNOS APV-I Accuracy

EGNOS APV-I Accuracy is reported as the 95th percentile of the Horizontal and Vertical Navigation System Error over the month, at the monitored sites when the APV-I service is available (HPL<40m and VPL<50m).

The following table shows the monthly APV-I Accuracy values in meters per operational GEO combined satellite. See Appendix A for further details of the stations where APV-I Accuracy is reported.

Station	HNSE 95% (meters)	VNSE 95% (meters)	% of samples with APV-I service available
Agadir	1.1	1.2	99.96%
Aalborg	0.6	1.5	99.84%
Alexandria	1.1	1.5	98.89%
Athens	0.8	1.2	99.96%
Berlin	0.8	1.3	99.95%
Canary Islands	1.3	1.4	99.91%
Cork	0.9	1.3	99.88%
Catania	0.8	1.1	100.00%
Djerba	0.9	1.1	99.90%
Egilsstadir	0.7	1.8	99.79%
Glasgow	0.9	1.4	99.92%
Golbasi	0.9	1.2	99.86%
Gavle	0.6	1.6	100.00%
Haifa	1.0	1.6	97.91%
Jan Mayen	1.1	2.3	99.26%
Kirkenes	0.8	1.8	97.36%
Lappeenranta	0.7	1.6	99.95%
La Palma	1.1	1.4	99.90%
Lisbon	1.0	1.5	99.96%
Madeira	0.9	1.1	99.90%
Málaga	0.9	0.9	100.00%
Palma de Mallorca	0.7	0.9	100.00%
Reykjavik	0.8	1.7	96.44%
Roma	0.8	1.1	100.00%
S. de Compostela	0.9	1.1	99.98%
Sofia	1.3	1.7	99.98%
Swanwick	1.1	1.5	99.92%
Toulouse	0.9	1.1	100.00%
Trondheim	0.6	1.6	99.99%
Tromsoe	0.9	2.4	99.35%
Warsaw	0.9	1.5	99.98%
Zürich	0.8	1.2	99.99%

Table 4 – EGNOS APV-I Accuracy (95%) and percentage of time in APV-I mode at reference stations

The next figures show the histogram and cumulative distribution function of HNSE (Horizontal Navigation System Error) and VNSE (Vertical Navigation System Error), which are computed at RIMS sites for each second over the current month.




Figure 15 – EGNOS APV-I HNSE Histogram and Cumulative Probability




Figure 16 – EGNOS APV-I VNSE Histogram and Cumulative Probability

3.2.5 EGNOS APV-I Performance at airports




Figure 17 – EGNOS APV-I Availability at airports




Figure 18 – EGNOS APV-I outages

See Appendix B for details of the APV-I Availability and Continuity at airports with published procedures using EGNOS.

3.3 EGNOS Localizer Performance with Vertical Guidance to a decision altitude of 200ft (LPV-200)

3.3.1 EGNOS LPV-200 Availability

EGNOS LPV-200 Availability is defined as the percentage of epochs in a month in which the Protection Level are below Alert Limits for this LPV-200 service ($HPL < 40m$ and $VPL < 35m$) over the total period. This value corresponds to the performance obtained under fault-free conditions using all satellites in view.

The following picture presents the EGNOS LPV-200 Availability over the current month using GEO-combined maps for the operational EGNOS GEOs.




Figure 19 – EGNOS LPV-200 Availability

Below, the evolution of the monthly LPV-200 availability (99%) compliance area (30 days sliding window) is presented. The percentage is computed with respect to the commitment area at 99% level as defined in the SoL SDD ([RD-2]). The information is presented for the last 3 months.




Figure 20 – EGNOS LPV-200 availability compliance trend

3.3.2 EGNOS LPV-200 Continuity Risk

EGNOS LPV-200 Continuity Risk is defined as the result of dividing the total number of single continuity events using a time-sliding window of 15 seconds by the number of samples with valid and available LPV-200 navigation solution. A single continuity event occurs if the system is available at the start of the operation and in at least one of the following 15 seconds the system becomes not available. This value corresponds to the performance obtained under fault-free conditions using all satellites in view.

The following picture presents the EGNOS LPV-200 Continuity over the current month using GEO-combined maps for the operational EGNOS GEOs.




Figure 21 – EGNOS LPV-200 Continuity²

² The lack of additional performance levels in grey areas is due to the non-compliance in this region with the accuracy requirements imposed to LPV-200 service level. For more details please refer to section 6.3.3.1 of the EGNOS Safety of Life SDD [RD-2].

3.3.3 EGNOS LPV-200 Integrity

EGNOS LPV-200 Integrity Event is defined as an event when the Navigation System Error is greater or equal to the corresponding Protection Level for LPV-200.

No integrity event was detected.

Safety Index is defined as the relation between Navigation System Error versus Protection Level (assuming PA algorithms to compute xNSE and xPL) for each second. In case of ratio xPE/xPL is over 1; it indicates that a Misleading Information situation has occurred.

The next figures provide the histogram for HSI (Horizontal Safety Index) and VSI (Vertical Safety Index) for each second when accumulating measurements from the different EGNOS stations over the current month. These histograms have considered that Protection Level is below LPV-200 Alarm Limit.




Figure 22 – EGNOS LPV-200 Horizontal Safety Index of the month




Figure 23 – EGNOS LPV-200 Vertical Safety Index of the month

3.3.4 EGNOS LPV-200 Accuracy

EGNOS LPV-200 Accuracy is reported as the 95th percentile of the Horizontal and Vertical Navigation System Error over the month, at the monitored sites when the LPV-200 service is available (HPL<40m and VPL<35m).

The following table shows the monthly LPV-200 Accuracy values in meters per operational GEO combined satellite. See Appendix A for further details of the stations where LPV-200 Accuracy are reported.

Station	HNSE 95% (meters)	VNSE 95% (meters)	% of samples with LPV-200 service available
Agadir	1.1	1.2	99.95%
Aalborg	0.6	1.5	99.26%
Alexandria	1.1	1.5	97.39%
Athens	0.8	1.2	99.80%
Berlin	0.8	1.3	99.88%
Canary Islands	1.3	1.4	99.27%
Cork	0.9	1.3	99.87%
Catania	0.8	1.1	99.92%
Djerba	0.9	1.1	99.21%
Egilsstadir	0.7	1.8	98.35%
Glasgow	0.9	1.4	99.91%
Golbasi	0.9	1.2	99.16%
Gavle	0.6	1.6	100.00%
Jan Mayen	1.0	2.3	97.86%
Lappeenranta	0.7	1.6	99.61%
La Palma	1.1	1.4	99.37%
Lisboa	1.0	1.5	99.82%
Madeira	0.9	1.1	99.56%
Málaga	0.9	0.9	99.86%
Palma de Mallorca	0.7	0.9	99.92%
Reykjavik	0.8	1.7	94.65%
Roma	0.8	1.1	99.93%
S. de Compostela	0.9	1.0	99.84%
Sofia	1.3	1.7	99.75%
Swanwick	1.1	1.5	99.90%
Toulouse	0.9	1.1	99.88%
Trondheim	0.6	1.6	99.97%
Tromsoe	0.9	2.3	98.15%
Warsaw	0.9	1.5	99.91%
Zürich	0.8	1.2	99.92%

Table 5 – EGNOS LPV-200 Accuracy (95%) and percentage of time in LPV-200 mode at reference stations

The next figures show the histogram and cumulative distribution function of HNSE (Horizontal Navigation System Error) and VNSE (Vertical Navigation System Error), which are computed at RIMS sites for each second over the current month.




Figure 24 – EGNOS LPV-200 HNSE Histogram and Cumulative Probability




Figure 25 – EGNOS LPV-200 VNSE Histogram and Cumulative Probability

3.3.5 EGNOS LPV-200 Performance at airports




Figure 26 – EGNOS LPV-200 Availability at airports




Figure 27 – EGNOS LPV-200 outages

See Appendix C for details of the LPV-200 Availability and Continuity at airports with published procedures using EGNOS.

4 EGNOS DATA ACCESS SERVICE (EDAS)

EDAS (EGNOS Data Access Service) offers internet-based access to EGNOS data [RD-3]. It is the single point of access for the data collected and generated by the EGNOS infrastructure composed of ground stations distributed over Europe and North Africa.

The main data provided by EDAS are:

- Raw GPS, GLONASS and EGNOS GEO observations and navigation data collected by the entire network of Ranging and Integrity Monitoring Stations (RIMS) and Navigation Land Earth Stations (NLES).
- EGNOS augmentation messages, as normally received by users via the EGNOS Geostationary satellites.

These data are provided through different EDAS Services in different formats in order to meet different set of applications and needs. For a description of the EDAS services, please refer to the EDAS SDD [RD-3].

Additional information on the EDAS services is available at the EDAS specific section of the EGNOS User Support website (<https://egnos-user-support.essp-sas.eu>), including the [EDAS services status in real-time](#).

Below, the performance of EDAS Services (please refer to the EDAS SDD [RD-3] for definition details) corresponding to April 2021 is presented:

- Availability: Percentage of time during which the service provides the data according to the specifications.
- Latency: Average of the percentile 95% latencies monitored for every 5 minutes period within the month.

EDAS Service		Availability	Latency (ms)
Service Level 0	-	100.00%	686.17
Service Level 2	-	100.00%	686.67
Ntrip Service	-	100.00%	634.53
SISNeT Service	GEO Operational 1	100.00%	74.43
	GEO Operational 2	100.00%	74.90
Data Filtering Service	RIMS A	100.00%	584.27
	Central	100.00%	488.59
	MEDA	100.00%	508.27
	North-East	100.00%	208.03
	North-West	100.00%	563.50
	South-West	100.00%	500.10
FTP Service	-	100.00%	N/A

Table 6 – Performance of EDAS Services

5 EGNOS TIME SERVICE

The EGNOS Time Service supports timing application by providing specific corrections that allow the tracing of EGNOS Network Time (ENT) to the physical realisation of the Coordinated Universal Time by Observatoire de Paris, UTC (OP).

The **EGNOS Time Service availability**³ is computed as the percentage of time per day in which it is possible to obtain the time solution referred to UTC scale by applying a valid offset between the EGNOS Network Time (ENT) and the UTC scale, provided through the EGNOS Message Type 12.

The information is presented for the combination of both operational GEOs. As it can be observed, the EGNOS Time Service availability was 100% all days of the month except for 15th April (88.21%).




Figure 28 – EGNOS Time Service availability

³ EGNOS Time Service availability is computed taking into account that it is not possible to obtain the time solution if the navigation solution cannot be computed. Therefore, if a SiS outage longer than 3 seconds happens the MT12 data will be set as invalid in order to simulate the unavailability of the receiver to compute the PVT solution and no Time Service will be available until a new valid MT12 is received. In order to take into account the user capability of switching from one operational GEO to the other in case of SiS outage, the EGNOS Time Service availability is computed over the combination of both GEOs.

The EGNOS Network Time is computed assuring its alignment with the GPS timescale, due to this requirement it must be satisfied that the offset between both timescales is below 50ns. The next figure shows the relative consistency of both ENT and GPS timescales from January 2021 to March 2021. It can be observed that the offset between them remains below 15 nanoseconds.




Figure 29 – ENT-GPS offset evolution

FOR MORE INFORMATION

To get more information about EGNOS performance:

Please visit the EGNOS User Support website:

<https://egnos-user-support.essp-sas.eu>

or

Contact the EGNOS helpdesk:

egnos-helpdesk@essp-sas.eu

+34 911 236 555

Or

Download the EGNOS app from the [App Store](#) or [Google Play](#)

DISCLAIMER

All data and information (hereinafter the “Data”) provided within this document are for informational purposes only. This document does not provide the ESSP interpretation of the Data.

The European Union, as owner of EGNOS, and ESSP SAS, as EGNOS services provider, disclaim all warranties of any kind (whether express or implied) to any party and/or for any use of the Data including, but not limited to, their accuracy, integrity, reliability and fitness for a particular purpose or user requirements.

By using the Data, the user agrees that the European Union and ESSP SAS shall not be held liable for any direct or indirect or consequential loss or damage (such as loss of profits, business, contracts, anticipated savings, goodwill or revenue) resulting from the use, misuse or inability to use the Data.

Text and pictures that are part of the Data may be protected by property rights. Any use shall require the prior written agreement of ESSP SAS.

APPENDIX A RECEIVER MONITORING NETWORK

The receiver network used to report EGNOS performances in this document is based on the EGNOS monitoring stations (RIMS).

Next map shows the location of this receiver monitoring network, used in this report to present the EGNOS performances:




Figure 30 – EGNOS RIMS sites used in this report

The stations in green colour are used to report LPV-200.

The stations in green and yellow colour are used to report APV-I.

The stations in green and yellow are used to report Open Service results.

Performances corresponding to NPA include all the stations (green, yellow and red colours).

Next table shows the name and location of each one, also represents which service is used in each of them.

Airports	Country	Monthly LPV-200 Availability	Monthly LPV-200 Continuity Risk	Outages ¹	Publication date of first LPV-200 procedure	LPV-200 Availability since procedure publication	LPV-200 Continuity Risk since procedure publication
LOWW / Wien - Schwechat	Austria	99.97%	5.79E-06	1	02/02/2017	99.97%	4.04E-05
LSGC / Les Eplatures	Switzerland	99.99%	1.16E-05	2	26/05/2016	99.98%	1.19E-05
LSGG / Genève	Switzerland	99.99%	1.74E-05	3	13/09/2018	99.98%	1.37E-05
LSMD / Dübendorf	Switzerland	99.99%	5.79E-06	1	30/01/2020	99.97%	1.94E-05
LSMP / Payerne	Switzerland	99.99%	1.16E-05	2	05/12/2019	99.97%	1.82E-05
LSZB / Bern-Belp	Switzerland	99.99%	1.16E-05	2	03/12/2020	99.99%	4.66E-06
LSZH / Zurich	Switzerland	99.99%	5.79E-06	1	25/05/2017	99.98%	1.26E-05
LYBE / Beograd/Nikola Tesla	Serbia	99.93%	9.85E-05	84	26/03/2020	99.89%	1.24E-04
LYNI / Niš/Konstantin Veliki	Serbia	99.90%	1.24E-04	96	26/03/2020	99.86%	1.58E-04
LYPG / Podgorica	Montenegro	99.92%	1.13E-04	102	26/03/2020	99.89%	1.23E-04
LZPP / Piešťany	Slovak Republic	99.97%	1.39E-05	4	02/02/2017	99.97%	4.40E-05
LZTT / POPRAD-Tatry	Slovak Republic	99.97%	3.82E-05	23	29/03/2018	99.94%	8.55E-05
LZZI / Žilina	Slovak Republic	99.97%	1.74E-05	3	25/05/2017	99.97%	4.27E-05

Table 9 – Monthly LPV-200 Availability at airports with published procedures using EGNOS

APPENDIX D REFERENCE DOCUMENTS

[RD-1]	Open Service Definition Document, EGN-SDD-OS; v.02-03 (https://egnos-user-support.essp-sas.eu/new_egnos_ops/sites/default/files/documents/egnos_os_sdd_in_force.pdf)
[RD-2]	Safety Of Life Definition Document, EGN-SDD-SoL; v.03-04 (https://egnos-user-support.essp-sas.eu/new_egnos_ops/sites/default/files/documents/egnos_sol_sdd_in_force.pdf)
[RD-3]	EGNOS Data Access Service (EDAS) Service Definition Document, EGN-SDD-EDAS; v.02-02 (https://egnos-user-support.essp-sas.eu/new_egnos_ops/sites/default/files/documents/egnos_edas_sdd_in_force.pdf)

APPENDIX E LIST OF ACRONYMS

Acronym	Definition
APV	Approach with Vertical Guidance
ASN	Abstract Syntax Notation
ECAC	European Civil Aviation Conference
EDAS	EGNOS Data Access Service
EGNOS	European Geostationary Navigation Overlay Service
ENT	EGNOS Network Time
ESSP	European Satellite Services Provider
FTP	File Transfer Protocol
GEO	Geostationary Satellite
GNSS	Global Navigation Satellite System
GPS	Global Positioning System
HAL	Horizontal Alarm Limit
HNSE	Horizontal Navigation System Error
HPE	Horizontal Position Error
HPL	Horizontal Protection Level
HSI	Horizontal Safety Index
LPV	Localizer Performance with vertical guidance
MI	Misleading Information
MT27	Message Type 27
NA	Not Applicable/ Not Available
NLES	Navigation Land Earth Station
NPA	Non-Precision Approach
NTRIP	Networked Transport of RTCM via Internet Protocol
OP	Operation
OPS	Operations
OS	Open Service
PA	Precision Approach
PL	Protection Level
PRN	Pseudo-Random Number
RAIM	Receiver Autonomous Integrity Monitoring
RD	Reference Document
RIMS	Ranging and Integrity Monitoring Station
RTCM	Radio Technical Commission for Maritime Services
SBAS	Satellite-Based Augmentation System
SDD	Service Definition Document
SIS	Signal-In-Space
SL0	Service Level 0
SL2	Service Level 2
SoL	Safety of Life
UTC	Universal Time Coordinated
VAL	Vertical Alarm Limit
VNSE	Vertical Navigation System Error
VPE	Vertical Position Error
VPL	Vertical Protection Level
VSI	Vertical Safety Index

END OF THE DOCUMENT